



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/848,834	05/17/2004	Thomas J. Bachinski	12929.1077USC1	4798
7590 07/17/2006		EXAMINER		
Robert A. Kalinsky MERCHANT & GOULD P.C.			BASICHAS, ALFRED	
P.O. Box 2903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Minneapolis, MN 55402-0903			3749	

DATE MAILED: 07/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/848,834	BACHINSKI ET AL.
omee near can many	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Alfred Basichas	3749
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	tn tne correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a r y within the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 M	lay 2006.	
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,26-33 and 37-40</u> is/are pending in t	he application	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	• •	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Will it don't don't don't dison.	
6) Claim(s) <u>1,26-33 and 37-40</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	_	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		by the Evernines
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	` '
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
	diffilier. Note the attached	Tomice Action of John F 10-132.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority document		
2. Certified copies of the priority document		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		received in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.
Attachment(s)	_	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Ir	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	 ·

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1, 26-33, and 37-40 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,736,133. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the overall scope of the claimed invention is practically the same.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alfred Basichas whose telephone number is 571 272

Application/Control Number: 10/848,834

Art Unit: 3749

4871. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday during regular

Page 3

business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ehud Gartenberg can be reached on 571 272 4828. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571 273 8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Tech Center telephone number is 571 272 3700.

July 7, 2006

Alfred Basterias Primary Examiner